



# Assessment of Green Gram Varieties for Better Yield in Nagapattinam District of Tamil Nadu

Anuratha A,<sup>1\*</sup> M Ramasubramanian<sup>2</sup> and R Chandirakala<sup>3</sup>

ICAR- Krishi Vigyan Kendra,  
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Needamangalam, Thiruvarur District (Tamil Nadu)

## ABSTRACT

Green gram (*Vigna radiata*) is commonly known as Moong an important pulse crop in India and more than 70 per cent of world's green gram production comes from India. In Nagapattinam, farmers sow the moong after harvesting of rice crop during summer. The present study was conducted during summer of the year 2017 in three villages of Nagapattinam district to assess the suitable variety of green gram. Five farmers' field were randomly selected and sown two high yielding improved varieties of green gram namely CO 8 and LGG 460 with five replications with one check variety already grown by the farmers. The data like average plant height, number of branches, pods/plant, grain/pod, disease incidence (%), Synchronization, 100 seed weight and pod yield (q/ha) were recorded during investigation. Among all the varieties assessed, variety CO 8 proved to be the best with highest number of pods/plant (31.2) and minimum incidence of yellow mosaic disease of 4.2 per cent resulting in the highest yield of 7.35 q/ha followed by LGG 460 with disease incidence of 5.6 per cent, 28.3 pods/plant and yield of 6.95q/ha. Hence, it was inferred that CO 8 variety of green gram proved better followed by LGG 460.

**Key Words:** Extension gap, Green gram, Technology, Varieties, Yield.

## INTRODUCTION

In India, frequency of pulses consumption is much higher than any other source of protein, which indicates the importance of pulses in their daily food habits. Due to the cheapest source of protein, it is important to increase pulses production to increase balanced diet among the socially and economically backward classes. The cultivation of green gram in summer season is the special feature of Nagapattinam district, in which paddy is the major *kharif* and *rabi* crop and green gram covering in an area of 45,000 ha is grown mainly in paddy fallows during summer season immediately after the harvest of the paddy crop. These soils are usually highly fertile. Instead of leaving the fields fallow during the summer season, farmers utilize the residual moisture in the soil to grow green gram.

Among the rabi crops green gram, reigning poor man's crop over the centuries and has potential to sustain food and nutritional security of the small and marginal farmers because of its short duration, faster growth and high nutritive values. But the yield levels are much lower than the normal season. Method of sowing was by broadcast the seeds.

The participatory rural appraisal study in the block revealed that the non availability of released variety suited to summer season, farmers were cultivating the local variety of green gram (ADT5) which was low yielding, susceptible to mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus (YMV), leaf crinkling and powdery mildew diseases. For control of these pests and diseases farmers were using pesticides indiscriminately which has led to increased cost of cultivation. Several biotic, abiotic and socio-

\* Corresponding Author's Email: nurakrish@yahoo.com

<sup>1,2</sup> ICAR- Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Needamangalam, Thiruvarur (Tamil Nadu)

<sup>3</sup> Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu

**Table 1. Details of practices in Nagapattinam district under OFT programme.**

Sr. No	Cultural practice	Improved practice	Existing practice
1.	Variety	CO 8, LGG 460	Local
2.	Land preparation	Ploughing and Levelling	Ploughing and Levelling
3.	Pre emergent herbicide	Pendimethalin @2.5 l/ha	No herbicide
4.	Seed rate	12 kg/ha	18 kg/ha
5.	Seed treatment	Biofertilizers & Pseudomonas	No seed treatment
6.	Fertilizer dose	Integrated nutrient management	Indiscriminate application
7.	Foliar application of nutrient	TNAU pulse wonder @ 5 kg/ha	DAP 2 % Spray
8.	Plant protection	IPM	Indiscriminate application

economic constraints inhibit exploitation of the yield potential of green gram and these are needed to be addressed. Crop growth and yield are limited through poor plant nutrition and uncertain water availability during the growth cycle. Inappropriate management may further reduce the fertility of soil. Therefore, it was considered to evaluate growth and yield parameters of two selected high yielding varieties of green gram in Nagapattinam district to identify the most suitable variety at the farmers field for higher income.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted on the clay loam soil during summer 2017 in three villages of Nagapattinam district. Ten farmers' field were

randomly selected and sown two high yielding improved varieties of green gram namely CO 8 and LGG 460 in five replication with one check variety already grown by the farmers. The chemical fertilizer was applied through DAP, muriate of potash and urea as basal dose. The details of cultural practices were given in Table 1.

The recommended weed control measures and irrigation were applied according to requirement of the crop. The data like average plant height, number of branches, pods/plant, grain/pod, disease incidence (%), Synchronization, 100 seed weight and pod yield (q/ha) were recorded during investigation.

To estimate the technology gap, extension gap and technology index formula given by Samui *et al* (2000) was used.

**Table 2. Performance of Green gram varieties in farmers field.**

Sr. No	Parameter	CO 8	LGG 460	ADT 3 (Check)	S.Ed.	C.D.(0.05)
1.	Plant height	45.72	42.6	40.9	0.64	2.08
2.	Number of branches per plant	9.5	7.6	5.9	0.23	0.75
3.	Number of pods per plant	31.2	28.3	16.5	0.71	2.31
4.	Number of grains per pod	9.6	8.1	6.5	0.24	0.77
5.	Disease incidence	4.2	5.6	29	0.10	0.31
6.	Yield (q/ha)	7.35	6.95	4.36	11.55	37.72
7.	Synchronization	80	62	40	1.28	4.19
8.	Aphid damage (%)	5	9	10	1.28	4.19
9.	100 seed weight	3.75	3.42	3.20	0.49	1.59

## Assessment of Green Gram Varieties for Better Yield

**Table 3. Yield, technology gap, extension gap and technology index of green gram.**

Name of Variety	Yield (q/ha.)			Per cent increase	Technology gap (q/ha)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
	Potential yield (q/ha)	Improved practices	Farmers' Practice				
		Average	Average				
CO 8	8.45	7.35	4.36	68.57	1.10	2.99	13.02
LGG 460	13.75	6.95	4.36	59.40	6.80	2.59	49.45

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tallest plant height was recorded in variety CO 8 (45.72 cm) followed by LGG 460 (42.6 cm). The varieties CO 8 produced maximum number of branches per plant (9.5) followed by LGG 460 (7.6). The reason may be attributed to the genetic variability and varietal difference and environmental adaptability. Similar results were reported by Samant (2014) in green gram.

The variety CO 8 recorded maximum number of pods/plant (31.2) which was significantly higher with variety LGG 460 (28.3). The positive effect of phosphorus application on number of pods/plant might be due to better enzymatic activities which control flowering and pod formation (Kumar and Singh, 2014). The variety CO 8 recorded maximum number of grain/ pod (9.6) and significantly superior to LGG 460 (8.1). The reason may be attributed towards the genetic variability and grain size. Farmers' check variety had minimum grain/ pods (6.5). The data (Table 2) showed that disease incidence (%) ranged between 4.2 to 5.6 per cent in two varieties whereas; the farmers' practice recorded 29 per cent.

The maximum yield of green gram was recorded in CO 8 which was significantly superior to LGG 460. However, CO 8 recorded highest yield in comparison to farmers' practice. Thus, the local variety/farmers' practice may be replaced with high yielding varieties because of higher productivity. Similar findings have been observed by Anuratha *et al* (2019). The technology gap ranged between 1.10 and 6.80 q/ha. The observed technology gap was due to various constraints such as soil fertility, availability of low moisture content and climatic hazards *etc.* Hence, to reduce the yield gap location specific recommendations for varieties, soil testing and timely sowing appears to be necessary. A value of 2.59 to 2.99 q/ha of extension gap was found during 2017. There is a need to decrease this wider extension gap through latest techniques. (Table 3). These findings were similar to the findings of Jain (2016) and Kushwah *et al* (2016). The technology index showed the suitability of varieties at farmer's field. Lower technology values indicated that feasibility of variety among the farmers is more.

The technology index ranged from 13.02 to 49.45 per cent. The finding was in accordance to

**Table 4. Yield and Economics of Green gram varieties.**

Variety	Yield (q/ha)	Economics of Trials (Rs./ha)			
		Gross cost	Gross income	Net income	B:C Ratio
CO 8	7.35	8805	23763	14958	2.69
LGG 460	6.95	8600	20850	12250	2.59
ADT 3 (Check)	4.36	7075	17363	10288	2.45

finding of Sandhu and Dhaliwal (2016). The gross cost of cultivation was almost similar for all the two varieties. Market preference for CO8 was good and fetched higher price. The yield, net return and B: Cratio was higher in CO8 due to higher market price followed by LGG 460.

### CONCLUSION

The findings of the study revealed that cultivating CO 8 and LGG 460 in Cauvery delta districts like Nagapattinam district was more beneficial due to their yield contributing traits namely plant height, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of grains per pod, yield which were recorded more as compared to farmers choice variety i.e., ADT 3. The findings of the study concluded that the yield of CO 8 was significantly higher than other varieties with recommended package and practices of green gram. Thus, the farmer's practice variety may be replaced with high yielding variety like CO8 in Nagapattinam district of Tamil Nadu.

### REFERENCES

Anuratha A, Ravi R and Selvi J (2019). Cluster front line demonstration in green gram variety CO 8 at Nagapattinam district of Tamil Nadu. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem* **8** (sp 2): 726-729.

Choudhary H R, Sharma, O P, Yadav L R and Choudhary G I (2011). Effect of organic sources and chemical fertilizers on productivity of Mungbean. *J Food Legume* **24**: 324-326.

Jain L K (2016). Impact assessment of frontline demonstration on green gram in Barmer district of Western Rajasthan. *J Food Legume* **29**(3 & 4): 249-252.

Kumar S and Singh R N (2014). Effect of vermicompost and Rhizobium application on productivity of Mungbean sown after wheat. *RAU Journal Res* **24**(1-2): 26-28.

Kushwah S, Kumar S and Singh S R K (2016). Adoption of improved late sown mustard cultivation practices –A case study in Bihar. *J Commun Mobilization Sustain Dev* **11**(1): 19-23.

Reddy A A (2010). Regional disparities in food habits and nutritional intake in Andhra Pradesh, India. *Regional & Sectoral Econ Stud* **10** (2) :125-134.

Samant T K (2014). Evaluation of growth and yield parameters of green gram. *Agri Update* **9**(3):427-430.

Samui K, Maitra S, Roy D R, Mondal A K and Saha D (2000). Evaluation of frontline demonstration programme on groundnut. *J Indian Soc Coastal Agrie Res* **18** (2): 180-183.

Sandhu B S and Dhaliwal N S (2016). Evaluation of frontline demonstration programme on summer moong in South Western Punjab. *J Food Legume* **29** (3 & 4): 245-248.

Received on 03/07/2020 Accepted on 15/11/2020